

EXTRACTS FROM THE “KARL JASPERS FORUM”
TARGET ARTICLES, RESPONSES, COMMENTS, AND NOTES
(2-16-2006)

Notation: The Table of Contents below refers to contributions I had made to H. Müller’s Website, controversially named the “Karl Jaspers Forum”. Revisions, additions, corrections, stylistic changes, may have been made or made at any time. Therefore they may appear different from what one might find on the H. Muller’s “Forum”. But the differences are more apparent than real. The length of this Webpage, over 500 pages when completed, necessitates radical changes to compensate for the exclusion of that to whom and what I am referencing. Those familiar with the academic specializations involved should be able to fill in the gap, that is, supply the answer to what the question or subject matter under discussion might be. My purpose is to eventually clarify such questions for the one who prefers not to cross-reference the extensive, complex, contextual slant of the “Karl Jaspers Forum”.

I’m classifying the contents below as a debate between one who attempted to represent the late Karl Jaspers (a representative unavoidably individualistic from my personal perspective) with by far the majority who seemed less objectively interested in Jaspers.

How to arrange and present the Table of Contents below has been made difficult for it seemed Jaspers had been and still is being avoided by design. The design propagates merely that Jasper was open to communication and had nothing to declare. I hope as need arises to do rearrangements that come across as more coherent. Other “Forum” Respondents I am addressing can use their own Websites and energies to express that to which they are committed. If the commitment is real, and economics favorable, there is no reason in today’s technology, that a cybernetic dialogue should not be universally available, such as Website(s) to Websites(s).

Listed first are my Target Articles 51 and 70 followed by my respective Responses. I may paraphrase and add that to which I am responding later. Every piece stands in need of correcting and elucidating. Sometimes Mr. Muller would supply captions, and most of those may not have been my choice. Reviewing the pieces and replacing the captions has been revealing in that there was a far greater coherence manifested than Mr. Muller’s captions showed. That’s quite understandable and predictable, for I should know what captures my meaning, whereas Mr. Muller captured the meaning he preferred or of which he was capable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TARGET ARTICLE 51--An application of psychopathology’s phenomenological method to some anthropological-psychological-sociological religious phenomena and religiously believed ontologisms pp. 148-169

Broaching Immanentalism, Response 1 to Moore
Toward a Meaningful Dialogue, Response 2 to Rifat

John Nash, My Early Memory, Depersonalizing Apeiron, Response 3
Early Memory, Finally! Response 4 to Glasersfeld and Muller
Don't Demyth the Reality of the Crucified, Response 5 to Moore and others
Commensurability of the Word and Crucifixion, Response 6 to Moore/Muller
Confusing Affect of the Apeiron and Incarnation Connection, Response 7
The Root of Apeiron and Unexperience (Postscript), Response 8
An Immanent Apeiron not Cypher of Transcendence, Response 9 to Muller
Clarification Question, Response 10 to Pivicki
More about Apeiron as the Unexperienced, Response 11 (Editorial Reply)
Correction,s Responsive Reply 12
German/French @100% Needless, Jaspers' Translators' Response 13 to Freeman
Conversion, G. Walters, My Mission Response 14 to Rifat and Horgan
Biblical Prelude To Jaspers on Creation Response 15 to Archambeault